

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 4/19/2024

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**IN RE EUROPEAN GOVERNMENT  
BONDS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 1:19 Civ. 2601 (VM)

**JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Ohio Carpenters' Pension Fund, Electrical Workers Pension Fund Local 103 I.B.E.W., and San Bernardino County Employees' Retirement Association ("Plaintiffs") on behalf of themselves and the other members of the Settlement Class, and Defendant UniCredit Bank AG together with its affiliates and subsidiaries ("UniCredit," and together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties") have determined to settle all claims asserted against UniCredit, and its predecessors, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, and affiliates in this Action with prejudice on the terms and conditions set forth in the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated July 11, 2023 (the "Stipulation"), subject to approval of this Court (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Orders dated May 16, 2023 and July 12, 2023 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court: (i) preliminarily approved the Settlement; (ii) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to the Settlement Class; (iii) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement; (v) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; and (iv) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on April 19, 2024 (the “Settlement Hearing”) to consider, among other things, (i) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (ii) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against UniCredit; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. **Jurisdiction** – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

2. **CAFA Notice** – The notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1715, have been satisfied.

3. **Incorporation of Settlement Documents** – This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (i) the Stipulation filed with the Court on July 11, 2023; and (ii) the Notice and the Publication Notice, both of which were filed with the Court on May 12, 2023.

4. **Class Certification for Settlement Purposes** – Pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and based on the record before the Court, the Court certifies, for the purposes of settlement only the following Settlement Class:

All persons or entities who or which purchased or sold one or more European Government Bond(s)<sup>1</sup> in the United States directly from a Defendant, Deutsche

---

<sup>1</sup> “European Government Bonds” or “EGBs” means euro-denominated sovereign debt or bonds issued by European governments (*e.g.*, Austria, Belgium, Cyprus, Estonia, Finland, France,

Bank, or Rabobank, or a direct or indirect parent, subsidiary, affiliate, or division of a Defendant, Deutsche Bank, or Rabobank, or any of their alleged co-conspirators, from January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2016 (the “Settlement Class Period”).<sup>2</sup> Excluded from the Settlement Class are: Defendants; past and present direct or indirect parents (including holding companies), subsidiaries, affiliates, associates, or divisions of Defendants; Defendants’ alleged co-conspirators; the United States government; and any judicial officer presiding over this Action and the members of his or her immediate family and judicial staff and any juror assigned to this Action; provided, however, that Investment Vehicles shall not be excluded from the definition of “Settlement Class” or “Class.” Also excluded from the Settlement Class is any person or entity who or which properly excludes himself, herself, or itself by filing a valid and timely request for exclusion in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Notice and whose request is accepted by the Court.

5. The Court finds that the requirements of Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are satisfied for settlement purposes as follows:

a. Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(1), the Court determines that the Settlement Class Members are so numerous that their joinder before the Court would be impracticable.

b. Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(2), the Court determines that there are one or more questions of fact or law common to the Settlement Class.

c. Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(3), the Court determines that Plaintiffs’ claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class.

---

Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Portugal, Slovakia, Slovenia, and Spain).

<sup>2</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, all references herein to transactions of any kind entered into by “persons or entities who or which purchased or sold one or more European Government Bond(s) in the United States directly from a Defendant, Deutsche Bank, or Rabobank” include, without limitation, transactions by persons or entities conducted from or through a location within the United States (including transactions where such persons or entities purchase or sell using an asset manager, investment advisor, broker or other similar entity that transacts on behalf of the person or entity from or through a location within the United States).

d. Pursuant to Rule 23(a)(4), the Court determines that Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class. Plaintiffs are certified as class representatives of the Settlement Class.

e. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3), the Court determines that common questions of law and fact predominate over questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members.

f. Pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3), the Court determines that a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this Action.

g. Pursuant to Rule 23(g), Co-Lead Counsel are certified as class counsel for the Settlement Class.

6. The Court's certification of the Settlement Class, and certification of Plaintiffs as class representatives of the Settlement Class, as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest any other request by Plaintiffs to certify a class. The Court's findings in this Judgment shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class or appoint class representatives in this litigation, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as binding or persuasive authority with respect to any motion to certify such class or appoint class representatives.

7. **Settlement Notice** – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Notice and the publication of the Publication Notice: (i) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Orders; (ii) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (iii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of (a) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder), (b) Co-Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses; (c) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, the Distribution

Plan, and/or Co-Lead Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and (d) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (iv) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (v) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause).

8. **Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims** – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation, the amount of the Settlement, the Releases provided for therein, and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against UniCredit in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class after considering the factors set out in *City of Detroit v. Grinnell Corp.*, 495 F.2d 448 (2d Cir. 1974), *abrogated on other grounds by Goldberger v. Integrated Res., Inc.*, 209 F.3d 43 (2d Cir. 2000) and Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

9. All of the claims asserted against UniCredit in the Action by Plaintiffs and the other Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

10. **Binding Effect** – The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be binding on UniCredit, the other Released Parties, Plaintiffs, and all other Settling Plaintiff Parties (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, trustees, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such.

11. **Releases** – The Releases set forth in ¶¶3-9 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in ¶1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to ¶13 of this Order, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Plaintiffs, the Settling Plaintiff Parties, and each of the Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every of the Settled Claims<sup>3</sup> against UniCredit and the other Released Parties, and shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Settled Claims against any of the Released Parties.

---

<sup>3</sup> “Settled Claims” means any and all manner of claims, including Unknown Claims, causes of action, cross-claims, counter-claims, charges, liabilities, demands, judgments, suits, obligations, debts, setoffs, rights of recovery, or liabilities for any obligations of any kind whatsoever (however denominated), whether class or individual, in law or equity or arising under constitution, statute, regulation, ordinance, contract, or otherwise in nature, for fees, costs, penalties, fines, debts, expenses, attorneys’ fees, and damages, whenever incurred, and liabilities of any nature whatsoever (including joint and several, treble, or punitive damages), known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, asserted or unasserted, choate or inchoate, which the Settling Plaintiff Parties ever had, now have, or hereafter can, shall, or may have, individually, representatively, derivatively, or in any capacity against UniCredit and any other Released Parties that arise from or relate in any way to the conduct alleged in the Action, or conduct that could have been alleged in the Action based on the factual predicate of the Action, including any amended complaint or pleading therein. Settled Claims include without limitation claims relating to the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2016 and any claims that could have been asserted against UniCredit in EGB II. Settled Claims shall not include: (i) claims based on transactions that are outside the extraterritorial reach of the Sherman Act pursuant to Section 6a of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §6a; (ii) any claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement; or (iii) any claims of any person or entity that submits a request for exclusion in connection with the Notice and whose request is accepted by the Court.

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to ¶13 of this Order, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, UniCredit and each of the Released Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of the judgment shall have fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Parties' Claim<sup>4</sup> as against each and every one of the Settling Plaintiff Parties, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Parties' Claims against any of the Settling Plaintiff Parties.

(c) The Court declares that this Judgment and the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto, shall be binding on, and shall have res judicata and preclusive effect in, all pending and future lawsuits or other proceedings against the Released Parties encompassed by the Settled Claims that are maintained by or on behalf of any Settling Plaintiff Parties. Moreover, the Released Parties may file this Judgment, the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto, and the Distribution Plan in any action that may be brought against any of them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on the principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, full faith and credit, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar, or reduction or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

---

<sup>4</sup> "Released Parties' Claims" means all claims and causes of action of every nature and description, whether known claims or Unknown Claims, whether arising under federal, state, common, or foreign law, that arise out of or relate in any way to the institution, prosecution, maintenance, or settlement of the Claims asserted in the Action for the period January 1, 2005 through December 31, 2016 against UniCredit and any claims that could have been asserted against UniCredit in EGB II. Released Parties' Claims shall not include any: (i) claims relating to the enforcement of the Settlement; or (ii) claims against any person or entity that submits a request for exclusion from the Settlement Class in connection with the Notice and whose request is accepted by the Court. For the avoidance of doubt, the release in this paragraph is intended to cover litigation conduct in this Action and any claims that could have been asserted against UniCredit in EGB II and not any obligations that may exist as a result of business transactions between the Parties.

12. Although the foregoing release is not a general release, such release constitutes a waiver of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code and any similar statutes (to the extent they apply to the Action). Section 1542 provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

13. Notwithstanding ¶11(a)-(b) of this Order, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.

14. Contribution and Indemnification – To the fullest extent permitted by law, the Court hereby bars claims against the Released Parties for contribution or indemnification (however denominated) for all or a portion of any amounts paid or awarded in the Action by way of settlement, judgment, or otherwise by any of the following: (i) any other Defendant currently named in the Action; (ii) any other Defendant formerly named as a party in the Action; (iii) any other Defendant subsequently added or joined as a party in the Action.

15. **No Admissions** – Neither this Judgment, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto and the Distribution Plan (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Stipulation and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith):

(a) shall be offered against any of the Released Parties as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Released Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could



have been asserted, in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Released Parties or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Released Parties, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation;

(b) shall be offered against any of the Settling Plaintiff Parties, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Settling Plaintiff Parties that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Released Parties had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Complaint, First Amended Complaint, Second Amended Complaint, Third Amended Complaint, Fourth Amended Complaint, or Proposed Fifth Amended Complaint, if filed as the Fifth Amended Complaint prior to the Effective Date, or the complaint in *Ohio Carpenters' Pension Fund, et al. v. Deutsche Bank, et al.*, No. 1:22-cv-10462-VM (S.D.N.Y.) and any amendments thereto would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Settling Plaintiff Parties, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; or

(c) shall be construed against any of the Released Parties or any of the Settling Plaintiff Parties as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given under the Settlement represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial; ***provided, however,*** that the Parties, the Settling Plaintiff Parties, and the Released Parties and their respective counsel may refer to this Judgment and the Stipulation to effectuate the

protections from liability granted hereunder and thereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

16. **Rule 11 Findings** – The Court finds that, during the course of the Action, Plaintiffs, UniCredit, and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to each other.

17. **Retention of Jurisdiction** – Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (i) the Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (ii) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (iii) any application for an award of attorneys’ fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Co-Lead Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (iv) any motion to approve the Distribution Plan; (v) any motion to approve the Settlement Class Distribution Order; and (vi) the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to the Action.


18. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a Distribution Plan and the application of Co-Lead Counsel for an award of attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

19. **Modification of the Stipulation** – Without further approval from the Court, Plaintiffs and UniCredit are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (i) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (ii) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Plaintiffs and UniCredit may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

20. **Termination of Settlement** – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Judgment shall be vacated, rendered null and void and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided by the Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Plaintiffs, the other Settlement Class Members, and UniCredit, and the Parties shall revert to their respective positions in the Action as of March 8, 2023, as provided in the Stipulation.

21. **Entry of Final Judgment** – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to immediately enter this final judgment in this Action.

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Victor Marrero  
U.S.D.J.

DATED: New York, NY  
19 April 2024  
\_\_\_\_\_